in the coastal areas in Orissa are inadequate to control floods; and

(b) if so, the quantum of Central assistance proposed to be provided for different drainage channel schemes in Orissa?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF TEXTILES AND THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI RAM NIWAS MIRDHA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) There is no proposal to provide Central assistance to the State Gov ernment for such schemes. It is for the State Government to formulate the drainage schemes as required and execute them within their own plan resources and depending upon their inter-se priorities.

Harassment of Harijans in Harikesh Nagar, Delhi

3193. SHRI SURAJ PRASAD; Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a)whether Government have re, ceived any complaint to the effect that Gujars have been harassing and threatening Harijans in Harikesh Nagar, New Delhi-20;

(b) whether one Amar Singh in his representation has stated that Chowki. in-Charge, Police Post, Okhla, New Delhi-20 has refused to take note of the incident and in return attacked his son and members of the family;

(c) whether on 5th September, 1987 and again on 4th November, 1987 police post incharge Shri Rajbir Singh looted the shops and tried to kill Amar Singh's son; and

(d) if so, what action is being taken in the matter?

THE MINTSTER OF STATE IN THE MINTSTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND THE MINTSTER OF STATE IN THE MINTSTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. CHID AM-BARAM); (a) Complaints in this
regard have been received.

(b) to (d) The allegations made in the complaint have been looked into and were not substantiated. Enquiries revealed that one Yog Raj, son of Amar Singh, the complainant, had encrouche on the main road, Hari-kesh Nagar. The Village Panchayat objected to the encroachment and a quarrel took place on 5 September, 1987. ASI Chander Bhan of Police Post Okhla Phase-II was sent to the spot. On the statement of Shri Amar Singh, a case under section 308/34 IPC was registered and the accused persons were arrested.

Complaints against office bearers of the recognised Union of Provident Fund Commissioner's Office, Chandigarh

3194. DR. BAPU KALDATE: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether certain complaints have been received against the office bearers of the recognised Union of the Regional Provident Fund, Com missioner's office. Chandigarh of the Employees Provident Fund Organisa tion;

(b) if so, what are the details there-oT;

(c) whether these complaints have been examined; and

(d) if so, what action has been taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI P. A. SANGMA): (a) and (b) The Central Provident Fund Commissioner is reported to have received a complaint from the General Secre-tary of the unrecognised Staff Union functioning in the Office of Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Chandigarh, regarding the alleged demonstrations during office hours by the employees belonging to the recognised Staff Union.

(c) and (d) The complaint is heing looked into by the Central Provident Fund Commissioner, who will take